Chapter No.	Page No.	Reviewer	Comment	Response	Initial Response	Final Response
Ex. Sum.	1	Ex. Board	The average annual increase in HURF revenues of 3.4 percent is too high. Recent experience suggests a much lower annual rate is realistic. The RTAC TRANSPORTATION UPDATE, dated July 23, 2013 was referenced that contains the following statement: "Highway and road revenue collections remain flat at approximately 2004 levels."	According to the Arizona HURF Forecasting Process and Results for 2013-2022 prepared by ADOT, increases in HURF are projected to grow at a rate of 3.4 percent per year for the period 2013-2022. In the absence of an authoritative reference for HURF revenue increases at a lower level, 3.4 percent will be used in development of the cost constrained 2014-2037 RTP. The following text will be included in the RPT and Executive Summary documents: Some stakeholders suggested that an annual increase in HURF of 3.4 percent is unrealistically high based on past recent experience and that ADOT should reevaluate HURF revenue estimates.	A	A
Ex. Sum.	9	Ex. Board	Area-Project No. WE-05 (Mohawk Rest Area) should be deleted. The project is not included in the recently approved ADOT Five-Year Construction Program.	As a result of discussions with ADOT, Project WE-05 will be removed from the 2014-2018 period and included in the 2019-2023 period. Revenues, costs, maps, and figures will be revised accordingly.	А	A

Will Comply
 Clarify or Evaluate
 Additional Information Needed
 No Action Required

Page 1 of 6

Chapter No.	Page No.	Reviewer	Comment	Response	Initial Response	Final Response
Ex. Sum.	9	Ex. Board	Area-Project No. YU-09 (I-8 POE Reconstruction) should be revised to conform to the recently approved ADOT Five-Year Construction Program.	As a result of discussions with ADOT, Project YU-09 shows \$2.5 million in the 2014-2018 period which is consistent with the ADOT Five-Year Construction Program. The \$34.6 million shown in the 2024-2028 period is not reflected in the ADOT Five-Year Construction Program because the ADOT Five-Year Construction Program only covers the 2014-2018 period.	D	D
Ex. Sum.	9	Ex. Board	Cost estimate for Area-Project No. SL-01 (US 95 Widening) should be revised to \$6 million to conform to the recently approved ADOT Five-Year Construction Program.	As a result of discussions with ADOT, Project SL-01 cost will be revised to \$6.7 million to reflect \$6.0 million cost for US 95 widening and \$0.7 for Main St. enhancements as shown in the ADOT Five-Year Construction Program. Revenues, costs, maps, and figures will be revised accordingly.	А	А
Ex. Sum.	9	Ex. Board	Area-Project No. YU-01 (4 th Avenue POE Reconstruction) should be revised to conform to the recently approved ADOT Five-Year Construction Program.	As a result of discussions with ADOT, the \$10.5 million shown in the 2029-2033 period for reconstruction of the 4 th Avenue POE is not reflected in the ADOT Five-Year Construction Program because the Program only covers the 2014-2018 period.	D	D

Will Comply
 Clarify or Evaluate
 Additional Information Needed
 No Action Required

Page 2 of 6

Chapter No.	Page No.	Reviewer	Comment	Response	Initial Response	Final Response
Ex. Sum.	9	Ex. Board	All ADOT projects should be revised to conform to the recently approved ADOT Five-Year Construction Program.	ADOT projects have been revised as requested to conform to the approved ADOT Five-Year Construction Program.	А	А
Ex. Sum.	15	Ex. Board	Delete Project SL-05 from Table 8 which is the Somerton project list.	Project will be deleted	Α	А
RTP	19	Ex. Board	The text includes a statement that the Yuma County occupancy rate is 75 percent. This is not a flattering statement.	The occupancy rate may reflect the number of rental dwelling units in relationship to owner-occupied dwelling units (this fact however could not be confirmed with available information). The statement with the occupancy rate will be deleted. Table 3.1 will be retained	А	A
RTP	27	Ex. Board	Number of winter visitors exceeds 70,000 annually.	The text will be revised to state that that winter visitors to the Yuma area exceeds 80,000 annually according to the Yuma Sun (2012).	A	А
RTP	61	Ex. Board	Revise the legend on Figure 4.9. Replace "Extremely High" to "Higher".	The map legend will be revised.	Α	Α
RTP	110	Ex. Board	There is uncertainty if ADOT began the overweight load program.	The text will be revised to state that the program is scheduled to begin in 2013.	А	А

Will Comply
 Clarify or Evaluate
 Additional Information Needed
 No Action Required

Page 3 of 6

Chapter No.	Page No.	Reviewer	Comment	Response	Initial Response	Final Response
RTP	121	Ex. Board	The widening of Araby Road from I-8 to 24 th Street is controversial.	This project is partially funded in the 2034-2037 period, with the remainder unfunded beyond 2037. The listed project limits correspond to a demonstrated need based on a technical evaluation of traffic volume projections. Text will be added to indicate the study limits should be confirmed and/or revised when partially funded projects are programmed.	А	A
RTP	121	Ex. Board	The limits of the I-8 widening project should be from Avenue 3E to Fortuna Road/Avenue 11E.	This project is partially funded in the 2034-2037 period, with the remainder unfunded beyond 2037. The listed project limits correspond to a demonstrated need based on a technical evaluation of traffic volume projections. Text will be added to indicate the study limits should be confirmed and/or revised when partially funded projects are programmed.	А	A

Will Comply
 Clarify or Evaluate
 Additional Information Needed
 No Action Required

Page 4 of 6

Chapter No.	Page No.	Reviewer	Comment	Response	Initial Response	Final Response
RTP	122	Ex. Board	The limits of the Avenue B widening project should be from County 15 th Street to SR-195 (not County 16 th to SR-195).	This project is partially funded in the 2034-2037 period, with the remainder unfunded beyond 2037. The listed project limits correspond to a demonstrated need based on a technical evaluation of traffic volume projections. Text will be added to indicate the study limits should be confirmed and/or revised when partially funded projects are programmed.	А	A
RTP	122	Ex. Board	Review and revise the description of the railroad overpass project.	The project description will be revised to clarify that the project location is in Wellton and that the railroad overpass could be on William Street or Dome Street, subject to the findings and recommendations of further study. This project is partially funded in the 2034-2037 period, with the remainder unfunded beyond 2037. Text will be added to indicate the study limits should be confirmed and/or revised when partially funded projects are programmed.	А	A
RTP and Ex. Sum.	General	YMPO Ex. Director	The pages numbers should be relocated on the right hand pages.	Page numbers will be centered on all pages.	А	А

Will Comply
 Clarify or Evaluate
 Additional Information Needed
 No Action Required

Page 5 of 6

Chapter No.	Page No.	Reviewer	Comment	Response	Initial Response	Final Response
Ex. Sum.	9-17	YMPO Ex. Director	The agency names in the table titles are difficult to find in Tables 4-10.	The agency names will be included in the column heading. For example, on page 9, the major column heading will be ADOT: Recommended Roadway Capital Improvements	А	A

Note: All responses will be reflected in the Final RTP and Final Executive Summary documents.

Will Comply
 Clarify or Evaluate
 Additional Information Needed
 No Action Required

Page 6 of 6